arXiv:1408.0381v1 [math.FA] 2 Aug 2014

# UNIQUENESS SETS FOR FOURIER SERIES

ASHOT VAGHARSHAKYAN

Abstract. The paper discusses some uniqueness sets for Fourier series.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper the following problem is considered: to find conditions on a set $E \in [-\pi,\, \pi]$ such that, if a function $f(x)$, $-\pi < x < \pi$, belongs to some space and its fourier series converges to zero at each point of the set $E$, then $f(x)$ is identically zero.

The first nontrivial result, for trigonometric series, was proved by G. Cantor and W. Young, see [1], p. 191.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $c_k \to 0$ and for each point $x \in [-\pi,\, \pi] \setminus F$ we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} c_k e^{ikx} = 0,$$

*where $F$ be a countable set. Then $c_k = 0,\ \ k \in Z$.*

D. Menshov, see [1], p. 806, construct a nonzero measure $\mu$ which has support of zero Lebesgue measure, and its Fourier coefficients tend to zero. The partial summs, of that Fourier series converges to zers out of $supp(\mu)$.

## 2. Auxliary definitions and results

More information, about the following quantities, related with Hausdorff's measures and capacities, one can find in [3], pp. 13 - 46. For convenient of the reader, we give some definitions.

**Definition 2.** *Let $0 \le h(x),\ 0 \le x \le 1$ be a nonnegative, increasing function and $h(0) = 0$. Let the subset $E \subset \{z;\quad |z| = 1\}$ be cover by the family of arcs $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, i.e.*

$$E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k.$$

*Then we put*

$$M_h(E) = \inf\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h(|S_k|)\right),$$

*where $|S|$ is the length of the arc $S$ and the infimum is taken over all families of cover.*

**Definition 3.** *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $E$ be baunded Borel set. The $C_\alpha(E)$-capactiy of the set $E$ is defined by formula*

$$C_\alpha(E) = \left(\inf_{\mu \prec E} \int_E \int_E \frac{d\mu(x)d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}\right)^{-1},$$

*where $\mu \prec E$ means, that $\mu$ is probality measure with support in $E$.*

For each $0 < \alpha < 1$ from Parseval's equality follows that there is a constant $M$ such that,

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_k|^2 |k|^\alpha \leq M \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx + M \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\int_{\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}} dxdy.$$

The following statement is a fragment of A. Zygmund's theorem, see [6], p.22. Let $g(-\pi) = g(\pi)$ and $g(x)$, $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi]$ have a bounded variation. If

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq M \cdot h(|x-y|).$$

Then, there is a constant $B$ such that the Fourier coefficients of the function $g(x)$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\sum_{2^j \leq |k| < 2^{j+1}} |\hat{g}_k|^2 \leq B\, 2^{-j} h\left(\frac{\pi}{3} 2^{1-j}\right).$$

**Definition 4.** *Let us denote by $\Lambda(n)$ the known Mangold's function, equals*

$$\Lambda\left(p^n\right) = \ln p,$$

*where $p$ is prime number and $n$ is natural number. For other natural numbers $m$*

$$\Lambda\left(m\right) = 0.$$

It is known, that for an arbitrary natural number $n$ the equality

$$\ln n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$$

holds, where the sum is taken over all positive divisors of $n$.

In the following theorem A. Broman, see [2], p. 851, gived the characterization of close exeptional sets.

**Theorem 5.** *Let* $0 < \alpha < 1$ *and*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|c_n|^2}{|n|^\alpha + 1} < \infty.$$

*Let* $F$ *be close set and*

$$\lim_{r \to 1-0} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|k|} c_k e^{ikx} = 0,$$

*for arbitrary* $x \in [-\pi,\ \pi] \setminus F$. *Then* $c_k = 0,\ \ k \in Z$, *if and only if*

$$C_{1-\alpha}(F) = 0.$$

A. Zygmund, see [7], proved the following nontrivial result.

**Theorem 6.** *Let* $\epsilon > 0$ *and* $\epsilon_n > 0,\ n = 1, 2, \ldots$ *be an arbitrary decreasing sequence, tending to zero. Let* $|c_n| \leq \epsilon_n,\ n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *There is a subset* $E \subseteq [-\pi,\ \pi]$ *with measure, i. e.* $m(E) > 2\pi - \epsilon$, *such that, if for each point* $x \in [-\pi,\ \pi] \setminus E$ *we have*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} c_k e^{ikx} = 0,$$

*then* $c_k = 0,\ \ k \in Z$,.

The proof of the following theorem one can find in [5].

**Theorem 7.** *Let* $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ *and*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)| dx + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{1+\alpha}} dx < \infty$$

*Let* $E \subset [-\pi,\ \pi]$ *be a subset such that for almost all points* $x_0 \in [-\pi,\ \pi]$ *we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n(1-\alpha)} C_{1-\alpha}(E_n(x_0)) = \infty,$$

*where*

$$E_n(x_0) = \left\{ x \in E;\ \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \leq |x - y| < \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}.$$

*If*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \hat{f}_k e^{ikx} = 0,\ x \in E$$

*then* $f(x) = 0,\ x \in [-\pi,\ \pi]$.

In this paper we prove a new result of this type for other classes of functions.

## 3. New uniqueness result

The following result, in different form, one can find in the paper, see [4].

**Theorem 8.** *Let $f(-\pi)=f(\pi)$ be differetable function. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{p\in P}\ln p\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{p^n}\sum_{k=1}^{p^n}f\left(\frac{2\pi k}{p^n}\right)-\hat{f}_0\right]\right)=\sum_{n\neq 0,n=-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{f}_n\ln|n|.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Lambda(n)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1-|z|^2}{\left|1-z\exp\{-\frac{2\pi ik}{n}\}\right|^2}-1\right)=$$

$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Lambda(n)\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}r^{|j|}\exp\left\{ixj-\frac{2\pi ikj}{n}\right\}-1\right)=$$

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}r^j\left(\sum_{j/n}\Lambda(n)\right)\cos(jx)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}r^j\cos(jx)\ln j.$$

where $z=re^{ix},\ 0\leq r<1$.Multiplying by the function $f(x)$ and after integrating we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Lambda(n)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{1-r^2}{\left|1-r\exp\{ix-\frac{2\pi ik}{n}\}\right|^2}f(x)dx-\hat{f}_0\right)=$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\neq 0,\,j=-\infty}^{\infty}r^{|j|}\hat{f}_j\ln|j|.$$

Passing to the limit if $r\to 1-0$ we get the required equality. □

**Remark.** The getting result we can write in the form

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{p\in P}\ln p\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{p^n}\sum_{k=1}^{p^n}\delta\left(x-\frac{2\pi k}{p^n}\right)-1\right]\right)=\sum_{n\neq 0,\,n=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{inx}\ln n.$$

This formula is a geralization of Poisson's well known formula:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\delta(x-2\pi n)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{inx},\ -\infty<x<\infty.$$

**Theorem 9.** *Let $0<\alpha<1$ and a nonnegative function $x\leq h(x),\ 0\leq x<1$ satisfy the condition*

$$\int_0^1\frac{h(x)}{x^{2-\alpha}}\ln^2\frac{e}{x}dx<\infty.$$

*Let for the function $f(x)$ we have*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\int_{\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{1+\alpha}} dxdy < \infty.$$

*Let there is a subset $E \subset [-\pi, \pi]$ such that:*

1. *$M_h(E) > 0$,*
2. *if $x \in E$ then an arbitrary point*

$$x + \frac{2\pi k}{p^n},$$

*where $k \in Z$, $n \in N$ and $p$ is prime number, by $mod(2\pi)$, belongs to the set $E$.*

*If for eavery $x \in E$ we have*

$$\lim_{r\to 1-0} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|k|} \hat{f}_k e^{ikx} = 0,$$

*then the function $f(x)$ is identicaly zero.*

*Proof.* By O. Frostman's theorem, see [3], p. 14, there is a probability measure $d\mu$ such that $supp(d\mu) \subseteq E$,

$$M_h(supp(\mu)) > 0.$$

and for each $0 < \delta$ the inequality

$$\int_{[x,\, x+\delta]} d\mu \leq Ah(\delta)$$

hold. Let us assume at the points 0 and $2\pi$ the function $\mu$ is continuous and $\mu(0) + 1 = \mu(2\pi)$. Denote

$$f(re^{ix}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} \hat{f}_n e^{inx}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{p\in P} \ln p \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k=1}^{p^n} \int_E f\left( r \exp\left\{ \frac{2\pi i k}{p^n} + ix \right\} \right) d\mu(x) - \hat{f}_0 \right] \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r^n \left[ \hat{f}_n \int_E e^{inx} d\mu(x) + \hat{f}_{-n} \int_E e^{-inx} d\mu(x) \right] \ln n =$$

$$= 2\pi i \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \hat{f}_n \hat{g}_{-n} - \hat{f}_{-n} \hat{g}_{-n} \right) r^n n \ln n.$$

where

$$g(x) = \mu(x) - \frac{x}{2\pi}.$$

Since the function $f(e^{ix})$ vanish on the set $E$ so, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{p\in P}\hat{f}_0\ln p\right| \le 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(|\hat{f}_{-n}||\hat{g}_n| + |\hat{f}_n||\hat{g}_{-n}|)n\ln n.$$

Let us note

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|\hat{f}_n||\hat{g}_{-n}|n\ln n \le \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\hat{f}_n|^2 n^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\hat{g}_{-n}|^2 n^{2-\alpha}\ln^2 n\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le$$

$$\le \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\hat{f}_n|^2 n^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}j^2 2^{(2-\alpha)j}\sum_{n=2^j}^{2^{j+1}-1}|\hat{g}_{-n}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le$$

$$\le M\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}j^2 2^{(1-\alpha)j}h(2^{-j})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

The inequality

$$\left|\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{p\in P}\hat{f}_0\ln p\right| < \infty$$

valid only if

$$\hat{f}_0 = 0.$$

Considering the functions $e^{inx}f(x),\ n \in Z$ we prove that $\hat{f}(n) = 0,\ n \in Z$. □

## References


[1] A. Bary, Trigonometric series, Moscow, 1961.

[2] A. Broman, On two classes of trigonometrical series, Thesis, University of Upsala, Upsala, 1947.

[3] L. Carleson, Selected Problems on Exceptional Sets, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1967.

[4] A. Vagharshakyan, On a representation theorem for harmonic fonctions, Izvestiya National Akademii nauk Armenii, vol.28, no.4, 1993.

[5] A. Vagharshakyan, On the uniqueness problem for Fourier series, Real Analysis Exchanges vol. 29(2), 2003/2004.

[6] J.-P.Kahan, Series de Fourier absolutement convergentes, Springer - Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1970.

[7] A. Zygmund, Contribution a l'unisite du developpement trigonometrique, MZ, 24 (1926).



Institute of Mathematics NAS

of Armenia, Bagramian 24-b,

375019 Yerevan, Armenia